EXHIBIT A

41111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.2

23

..4

investigation prior to Mr. Lindner's filing suit.

THE COURT: So Mr. Lindner, are dates set for those depositions? You're ready to proceed with them?

MR. LINDNER: Not quite, sir. I want to get documents so that I can talk to them knowledgeably and Ms. Park refuses to give me the documents.

THE COURT: I thought I ruled on all your outstanding document requests.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LINDNER: In other words that I wouldn't be able to get any documents.

THE COURT: Well, there were certain documents that I said you were entitled to. I think they were produced.

MS. PARK: Right, your Honor.

MR. LINDNER: I wanted to get ESI, electronically stored information of e-mails among the parties.

THE COURT: Well, leaving aside the fact whether or not that was a late or new request, as I understand it, having gone through the correspondence, Ms. Park has represented that whatever electronic documents existed relating to communications between the parties about the management of this case were produced; is that correct?

MS. PARK: Correct, your Honor.

MR. LINDNER: I beg to differ.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. LINDNER: Can we talk about that for a moment?

1	THE COURT: What do you want to say?
2	MR. LINDNER: She has not produced e-mails between the
3	parties, in other words, between the what I call the AmEx
4	people, which would be Qing Lin, Jason Brown, Ash Gupta, Qing's
5	manager, and secretary of the corporation Steven Norman who
6	authorized Jason Brown to do the investigation.
7	THE COURT: I don't know whether there were any, but
8	is the response that there were no such e-mails?
9	MR. LINDNER: Correct.
10	MS. PARK: Correct, your Honor, any e-mail
Assessed Section 2	communications that we have that are not protected by the
12	attorney-client privilege and pertain to this action as opposed
13	to Mr. Lindner's run for a seat on the board of directors have
14	been produced.
15	MR. LINDNER: And actually that violates FRCP in
16	regard that she hasn't identified what the privilege in a
17	manner sufficient that I could identify if that's valid or not.
18	THE COURT: Were there any privileged communications?
19	MS. PARK: Not within the company. Not within the
20	company.
21	MR. LINDNER: So the judge just asked you were there
22	any communications that were privileged, and so you are saying
23	there are none within the company?
24	MS. PARK: Correct.

MR. LINDNER: So if none of them are privileged you're

2.0

saying none of them ever communicated by electronic means with each other.

MS. PARK: No, that's not what I said. I said any communications, internal communications, e-mail communications have been produced to you. And my initial qualifier was to the extent they're not privileged. But when Judge Katz asked me if there were any privileged documents, I thought for a moment, and no, in fact there are no such privileged documents. There are privileged communications between American Express and myself post filing of the lawsuit.

THE COURT: But that's not what is at issue.

I guess maybe to be direct about it, do you know whether there were any communications produced or is it your position there were none?

MS. PARK: There were no communications among
Mr. Gupta, Mr. Lin, Mr. Brown and/or Mr. Norman concerning this
case other than those that have already been produced.

MR. LINDNER: You're saying -- since you said there are no privileged, you're saying there are no documents from Qing Lin that --

THE COURT: There were some documents produced, it may not have been e-mails.

MS. PARK: There were e-mails. I produced whatever e-mails that exist have already been produced.

MR. LINDNER: If you have they were like on the order

1.7

dates on which the depositions have been scheduled, so you'll work that out.

MS. PARK: Sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: And everything is to be completed by the end of January. And I'll wait to hear from Ms. Park about whether American Express is willing to pursue settlement discussions.

MR. LINDNER: And I did request a stay on the entire schedule while the motions for sanctions --

THE COURT: I'm not staying anything. We want to get through this.

MS. PARK: So you requested a stay from Judge Koeltl?

MR. LINDNER: I'm not sure. Probably, yes. But I guess you're going to respond to that, so we'll hear from that.

THE COURT: Have a nice holiday.

MR. LINDNER: Thank you.

MS. PARK: Thank you, Judge.